



"Un otro mundo es posible"

**Escritório do Fórum Social Mundial / Oficina del Foro Social Mundial**

R. General Jardim, 660 – 7º andar – sala 72  
Cep: 01223-010 – São Paulo – SP – Brasil  
Tel.: + 55 11 3258-8914 Fax: + 55 11 3258-8469  
Site: [www.forumsocialmundial.org.br](http://www.forumsocialmundial.org.br)

## **Reporte de la reunión del CI<sup>1</sup>** **Nairobi, Kenia (Enero, el 26 y el 27 de 2007)** **Guest House en Stadia (Kasarani)**

### **Sumario**

#### **AGENDA PRINCIPAL Y DECISIONES:**

1) Discusión de evaluación/valoración del FSM 2007 en Nairobi.....	2
2) Movilización y propuestas para el FSM 2008 .....	3
3) Grupo de Facilitación/Enlace .....	4
4) Próxima reunión del CI .....	4
5) FSM 2009 .....	4

### **Anexos**

1) Borrador del Términos de Referencia Grupo de trabajo de evaluación y principios de orientación .....	5
2) Propuestas de movilización para 2008 .....	7
3) Facilitación del Proceso FSM: Propuestas del grupo de trabajo formado en Parma .....	8
4) Propuesta para la sede de la próxima reunión del Consejo Internacional en Florencia durante el "Terra Futura" .....	11
5) Minute notes from discussion <sup>2</sup> .....	12
6) Reporte del fondo de solidaridad .....	24
7) Lista del Miembros del CI y observadores/as presentes en la reunión del CI .....	25

<sup>1</sup> Este reporte es un resumen de las principales decisiones tomadas en Nairobi. Son basadas en los apuntes de Jason Nardi (Oneworld), Moema Miranda (Ibase) y Rolando Lopez (Ibase).

Traducción voluntaria: Cristina Huertas Barbudo, Graziela Schneider e Isabel Pato.

La reunión del CI en Nairobi fue grabada por NIGD y está disponible en sitio web [www.forumsocialmundial.org.br](http://www.forumsocialmundial.org.br), en el enlace: [http://www.forumsocialmundial.org.br/main.php?id\\_menu=3\\_2\\_2&cd\\_language=4](http://www.forumsocialmundial.org.br/main.php?id_menu=3_2_2&cd_language=4)

<sup>2</sup> Apuntes por Rolando Lopez (Ibase) y incorporado por Jason Nardi (Oneworld) al texto Agenda Principal y Decisiones.

## AGENDA PRINCIPAL Y DECISIONES

### **El 26 de Enero – Mañana**

**Facilitadores/as:** Wahu Kaara (African Social Forum), Moema Miranda (Ibase) y Njoki Njehu (Solidarity Africa Network in Action).

#### **1) Discusión de evaluación/valoración del FSM 2007 en Nairobi**

La primera parte de la reunión estuvo dedicada a la confrontación de opiniones y la valoración de cómo fue el 7º Foro Social Mundial en Nairobi. El debate comenzó con las presentaciones de Oduor Ogwen (Comité de organización de Kenia), Taoufik B. Abdallah (Consejo del Foro Social Africano), Njoki Njehu (Solidarity Africa Network in Action), que señalaron los siguientes elementos de evaluación:

Es difícil hacer una evaluación seria en este momento, pero algunos elementos deben ser mencionados. El Foro es el resultado de una larga lucha y de un largo proceso. Hemos realizado un total de más de 30 Foros en África. También tuvimos el Foro de Bamako como aprendizaje. La principal dificultad fue la movilización. Porque el movimiento social en África está fragmentado y dominado por pocos, no es totalmente democrático. Nos alegra que haya un buen número de sindicalistas africanos. En términos de recursos, de los 1,160,000 (US \$) movilizados, sólo el 69% fue desembolsado. No hay antecedentes del número de representantes. Es demasiado pronto para evaluar las repercusiones políticas. Este foro fue el más importante en África. Es un evento histórico. Todos nuestros amigos, antes de nada de Holanda, los primeros a dar apoyo. Gracias a los intérpretes y voluntarios.

Mucha gente ayudó a la movilización, lo cual fue una gran ayuda. Sin embargo, el tema de los pobres no fue administrado bien. En Kenia los pobres no están solamente en las barriadas sino también en el área rural. Por eso por favor, no digan que los pobres no han tenido una oportunidad. Es una petición para cuestionar la idealización de los pobres. Aclaración de la distribución y el elevado precio del agua: 50.000 litros eran gratis, el resto no estaba bajo control. El papel de los medios de Kenia en el FSM: se focalizó un poco tarde. El alojamiento fue un completo desastre. No estuvo de acuerdo con el nivel deseado. Muchas sesiones no funcionaron. Asumimos toda la responsabilidad. También los voluntarios estuvieron flojos. Es prematuro evaluar el Foro de Kenia. Finalmente, a pesar de los problemas, creemos que fue un éxito.

Mientras el comité de organización era felicitado por la buena marcha del foro, un número de problemas ocurridos fueron debatidos, entre ellos:

- Tendencias hacia la comercialización, la privatización y la excesiva presencia militar y policial en el espacio del FSM;
- Contratiempo por los terrenos del FSM / economía solidaria y la coherencia con la Carta de principios del FSM;
- Exclusión de participantes debido a los altos costes de participación, comida y agua;
- Preocupación por la presencia de organizaciones que trabajan en contra de los derechos de las mujeres, gente marginada, y contra los derechos sexuales y diversidad, en contradicción con la Carta de principios del FSM;
- No se le dio mucha importancia a los medios y a garantizar una buena conexión a la internet para trabajar;
- La traducción/interpretación y la amplificación no estuvieron adecuadamente provistas de medios.

El consenso se alcanzó sobre la necesidad de guía de orientación, a fin de trabajar mejor cuando se organice un futuro Foro Social Mundial y su evaluación a partir de entonces.

Una proposición separada para continuar la discusión sobre la evaluación del FSM 2007 fue presentada por Flavio Lotti, para ser organizada antes de la próxima reunión del CI.

#### **DECISIONES:**

- **Un nuevo grupo de trabajo será creado para facilitar la valoración del proceso del FSM y el desarrollo de principios de orientación para los próximos foros. La valoración del grupo de trabajo hizo un borrador de los términos de referencia a fin de surgir con un marco y una guía de orientaciones [Nicola Bullard y Jason Nardi han hecho el primer borrador, basado en las primeras reuniones del CI – véase Anexo 1]; La versión final será**

enviada la próxima semana.

- **Un primer grupo de trabajo se estableció durante la reunión del CI, pero estará abierto a nuevos miembros. Un mensaje específico sobre este tema lo mandará la Secretaría en la próxima semana.**
- **Un seminario de evaluación será organizado antes de la próxima reunión del CI, por el mismo grupo de trabajo.**

### **26 de Enero – Tarde**

**Facilitadores/as:** Vinod Raina (Jubilee South), Celita Eccher (ICAE) y Oduor Ogwen (Kenya Organising Committee).

### **2) Movilización y propuestas para el FSM 2008**

El CI confirmó su decisión de lanzar un día global de acción cerca de finales de Enero de 2008. Dependiendo de los países y áreas, la forma y duración de esta acción puede variar en cuanto a la fecha referencia (probablemente el 26 de Enero) coincidiendo con la apertura del Foro Económico Mundial en Davos. El conjunto de acciones a llevar a cabo a una escala internacional se dirige a combatir el neoliberalismo y está inspirado en la Carta de principios del FSM.

El grupo de trabajo para el FSM 2008 ha presentado una propuesta la cual fue enmendada y aprobada (véase Anexo 2).

La comisión de comunicación también se reunió durante la reunión del CI y propuso trabajar en una estrategia revisada para la comunicación para la movilización de 2008, para la recaudación de fondos para tanto, así como para implementarlo directamente. Se ha preguntado por un mandato específico del CI para seguir en esta dirección.

#### **DECISIONES:**

Propuesta aprobada del GT sobre 2008. En particular:

- **El proceso del FSM se caracterizará en 2008 por un conjunto de actividades simultáneas llevadas a cabo regionalmente y/o localmente en todo el mundo y en un día común de impacto global y de visibilidad reforzada por medio de una estrategia y herramientas comunes de comunicación.**
- **El principal eslogan será Un otro mundo es posible/en construcción – a ser decidido en la próxima reunión del CI. Las acciones propuestas serán la continuación de las discusiones del FSM 2007 de Nairobi, particularmente los resultados del cuarto día (planes de acción y campañas). Dinámicas y actividades deberán ser abiertas, inclusivas y plurales, en el espíritu del proceso del Foro Social Mundial, y tener que estar en concordancia con la Carta de principios del Foro Social Mundial.**
- **Las fechas de las actividades para el FSM 2008 se fijarán entorno a las fechas de Davos y el día global de acción/visibilidad debe ser el 26/27 de Enero.**
- **La responsabilidad del grupo de trabajo es producir el borrador de un plan antes de la próxima reunión del CI para ser discutido y aprobado por el CI.**

Un mandato especial fue concedido a la comisión de comunicación para trabajar y producir un nuevo plan de estrategia de comunicación para el proceso de movilización 2008, recaudación de fondos para tanto, así como su implementación.

## **27 de Enero - Mañana**

**Facilitadore/as:** Prabir Purkayastha (FSM India) y Virginia Vargas (Articulación Feminista Marcosur).

### **3) Grupo de Facilitación/Enlace**

Una propuesta del grupo de trabajo de Facilitación (vea propuesta completa en *Anexo 3*) constituido en Parma, ha sido la creación de un "Grupo de Facilitación Internacional" del CI. Ese Grupo, compuesto por 7 personas y 7 reemplazantes indicados por el CI, apoyaría el proceso FSM, empezando con las necesidades del CI de estructurar su trabajo alrededor de:

- Un Conjunto de Objetivos Anual;
- Comisiones con responsabilidades bien definidas;
- Grupos de trabajo para tareas específicas y relacionados a las Comisiones.

Se ha discutido la propuesta completa y se han expresado diferentes preocupaciones, especialmente sobre la creación de una nueva estructura de poder dentro del CI y la relación con las Comisiones y Grupos de Trabajo existentes.

#### *DECISIONES:*

- **Mantener el grupo de trabajo constituido en Parma, que debe profundizar el debate, añadir las sugerencias planteadas, así como presentar una nueva propuesta en la próxima reunión del CI.**
- **Mientras tanto, se ha prorrogado por unanimidad el mandato del Secretariado Brasileño para seguir con la facilitación del trabajo del CI.**

## **27 de Enero - Tarde**

**Facilitadore/ass:** Cândido (Ibase) y Brid Brennan (TNI).

### **4) Próxima reunión del CI**

Se han presentado dos propuestas para organizar la próxima reunión del CI. La exposición internacional Terra Futura (Our Future Earth) sobre buenas prácticas de sustentabilidad - un legado del primer Foro Social Europeo - se ha ofrecido a organizar el CI del 16 al 20 de mayo de 2007 en Florencia, Italia, con todos los gastos locales, hospedaje, comida, transporte local y logística incluidos. Se lo ha planteado como una oportunidad de crear sinergias entre el FSM y un grupo más amplio de organizaciones trabajando con muchos de los mismos temas.

La segunda propuesta fue la de tener la próxima reunión del Consejo Internacional durante la Cumbre del G8 que tendrá lugar en Rostock (Alemania) para acompañar las acciones de protesta que lo acompañarán. Fecha probable: el 9 y el 10 de junio de 2007. Hay que confirmar si se incluyen toda la logística y gastos con las organizaciones que pueden organizarlo, incluso las iglesias que se movilizan alrededor de los temas de la deuda internacional.

#### *DECISIONES:*

- **Realizar la próxima reunión del CI en Berlín, Alemania en junio de 2007 (1-3).**
- **Se ha acordado esperar dos semanas para verificar los detalles de viabilidad, además de la fecha y lugar final con organizaciones locales.**

### **5) FSM 2009**

Una primera serie de propuestas sobre dónde el 8º Foro Social Mundial debería realizarse en 2009 se ha hecho en la última sesión del CI: volver a Brasil (por ejemplo, en Salvador de Bahia o Curitiba), o en una ciudad Mexicana cerca de la frontera con EEUU para reunir un gran número de personas de México, América del Norte y Central. Otras sugerencias han indicado Tailandia o Corea del Sur, lo que enraizaría el proceso en Asia del Este. Muchos también han pedido que el FSM se realice otra vez en el continente africano, ya que la mayoría de los miembros del CI están de acuerdo sobre la necesidad de fortalecer más las luchas en África. El CO africano considerará la posibilidad e informará en la próxima reunión del CI.

#### *DECISIONES:*

- **Se presentarán y debatirán nuevas propuestas en la próxima reunión del CI.**

## **1) Borrador de los Términos de Referencia Grupo de trabajo de evaluación y principios de orientación**

### **DECISIÓN DEL CONSEJO INTERNACIONAL**

El Consejo Internacional en la reunión de Nairobi, el 26 y el 27 de enero de 2007, ha decidido establecer un grupo de trabajo para facilitar la evaluación del proceso FSM y desarrollar principios de orientación para los próximos foros.

Las tareas del grupo de trabajo son:

- Facilitar la evaluación del proceso FSM;
- Desarrollar principios de orientación para los próximos foros.

Duración:

Este grupo de trabajo empieza ahora y seguirá hasta la próxima reunión del CI en Berlín, en Junio, cuando el futuro del grupo de trabajo será revaluado.

Composición:

El grupo de trabajo está abierto a todos miembros y observadores/as del Consejo Internacional. Los términos finales de referencia serán enviados a la lista de discusión del CI con una invitación para ingresar en el grupo de trabajo, para lo cual una nueva lista será creada por la Secretaría del FSM.

### **CONTEXTO**

Los siete años del proceso del Foro Social Mundial ha sido rico en experiencias de lecciones aprendidas y lecciones "des-aprendidas".

La razón de la evaluación del proceso del Foro Social Mundial es fortalecer nuestro trabajo, para perfeccionar y profundizar nuestra práctica, para aumentar nuestro impacto político y social.

Evaluar no es solamente un ejercicio técnico: es un acto político. Todavía, nuestra evaluación del proceso debe suceder de acuerdo con los valores que se encuentran en la Carta de Principios del FSM: que el proceso FSM es abierto, inclusivo, plural, diverso, no violento, no racista, no jerárquico, no patriarcal, antineoliberal, ecológico, no confesional, no gubernamental, no partidario, con funciones basadas en la solidaridad, democracia y transparencia.

### **TAREAS DEL GRUPO DE TRABAJO**

1. Facilitar la evaluación del proceso FSM:

Aplicando una encuesta en línea, utilizando todas las bases de datos disponibles de los participantes desde 2001 hasta 2007, incluyendo los foros regionales.

Esta encuesta será primeramente cuantitativa con algunas cuestiones cualitativas opcionales.

El objetivo de la encuesta es:

- Averiguar la relación (si hay una) entre los procesos FSM y la construcción de movimientos y campañas en la sociedad de manera más amplia;
- Evaluar el papel y la utilidad del FSM como parte de procesos más amplios de resistencia, de construcción de movimientos y de creación de alternativas;
- Agrupar las opiniones sobre el futuro del FSM sobre cuestiones como: periodicidad, forma, tiempo etc.

Un borrador de la encuesta será elaborado por un pequeño grupo, incluyendo sociólogos con experiencia en la coleta de datos y encuestas, el formulario final será aprobado por el grupo de trabajo.

La encuesta estará disponible en español, inglés y francés.

El grupo de trabajo tiene que llevar en cuenta que es necesario recaudar fondos para poner en marcha la encuesta y el análisis de los datos.

Facilitando un seminario de un día, en el día que antecede la próxima reunión del consejo internacional en Berlín, en Junio próximo.

Los aportes de este seminario incluirán:

- El resultado de la encuesta mencionada;
- El reporte del Consejo del Foro Social Africano sobre el FSM Nairobi;
- El reporte del Comité Organizador Keniano sobre el FSM Nairobi;
- Cualquier otro reporte de evaluación de los Foros Sociales Mundiales y foros regionales anteriores;
- El reporte elaborado por la comisión de recursos (el reporte Novib);
- Otros reportes y encuestas cualitativos y cuantitativos relevantes para la discusión como un todo.

El seminario será facilitado por los miembros del grupo de trabajo.

El grupo de trabajo tiene como objetivo divulgar todos los reportes disponibles dos semanas antes de la reunión. Los resultados de la encuesta en línea estarán disponibles, por lo menos, en inglés, español y francés.

## 2. Desarrollar principios de orientación para los próximos foros

El grupo de trabajo irá preparar un documento con el objetivo de traducir los principios del FSM, según la Carta de Principios, en acciones prácticas.

Por ejemplo, la Carta de Principios establece que el FSM "se contraponen a un proceso de globalización comandado por las grandes corporaciones multinacionales y por los gobiernos e instituciones internacionales a servicio de sus intereses, con la complicidad de gobiernos nacionales". Entonces, en la práctica, los procesos FSM no deberán ser utilizados por los intereses de las corporaciones transnacionales, por ejemplo, rechazando la financiación por CTNs, utilizando software libre, productos y proveedores locales, etc.

Otro ejemplo: "El Foro Social Mundial siempre será un espacio abierto a la pluralidad y a la diversidad de actuación de las entidades y movimientos que quieran participar, además de abierto a la diversidad de géneros, etnias, culturas, generaciones y capacidades físicas, desde que sea respetada la Carta de Principios." En la práctica, el proceso FSM deberá facilitar esta diversidad y pluralismo, asegurando al máximo el acceso de los grupos marginados, garantizados el acceso físico, financiero y lingüístico, acceso a la información, al espacio entre otros.

El documento se basará en las experiencias de los foros pasados, utilizando ejemplos de como los principios el FSM pueden ser implementados de forma práctica, como en la arquitectura, movilización y cultura del voluntariado, provisión de traducción y interpretación, herramientas innovadoras y accesibles de comunicación, procesos de toma de decisión abiertos y democráticos, entre otros.

Además, el grupo de trabajo tentará desarrollar algunas herramientas de evaluación como auditorias social, ecológica y de género, las cuales nos ayudará a fortalecer nuestro trabajo.

Se pretende que este documento sea un trabajo continuo, constantemente actualizado basado en las vastas experiencias y en la creatividad de los involucrados en el proceso FSM. Finalmente, el objetivo principal es proveer una "caja de herramientas" para los próximos comités coordinadores de los procesos FSM, así como un conjunto de "indicadores" para la evaluación de que estamos "caminando de acuerdo con lo que decimos".

**El borrador del documento también será discutido por el Consejo Internacional y estará disponible en el sitio del FSM para comentarios y sugerencias.**

## **2) Propuestas de movilización para 2008**

Grupo de Trabajo de la Reunión del CI

Nairobi, Reunión del CI el 26 y el 27 de Enero 2007

- 1) El proceso FSM en 2008 tendrá como principal momento un Día Global de Movilización, caracterizado por actividades simultaneas organizadas regional y/o localmente en todo el mundo. El formato específico (incluyendo el número de días) y el eslogan de esas actividades serán decididos por estos que organizan estas actividades en un día común de impacto global y con visibilidad reforzada por estrategias y herramientas de comunicación comunes. Las actividades deben alargar y profundizar el proceso FSM.
- 2) El eslogan general será "Un Otro mundo es posible/en construcción – que será decidido durante la próxima reunión del CI. Los temas específicos serán elegidos por los foros, redes y organizaciones. Mientras tanto, y deben respetar las discusiones realizadas durante el FSM en Nairobi, especialmente los resultados del cuarto día (planes de acción y campañas), y otros temas de importancia global, regional nacional y local. Tener en cuenta, la diversidad, garantizando que todos los sectores y grupos participen. Dinámicas y actividades abiertas, inclusivas y plurales, siguiendo el espíritu del proceso Foro Social Mundial, respetando su Carta de Principios.
- 3) Proponemos mantener la decisión de la reunión del CI en Parma, de desarrollar las actividades del FSM 2008 acerca de las fechas de Davos y que lo día de acción global será 26/27 de Enero.
- 4) El grupo de trabajo formado en Parma permanece abierto para cualquier miembro del CI que quiera participar. Su función es difundir las informaciones sobre el Día Global de Movilización, involucrar al máximo en este proceso todos los actores, movimientos, redes y organizaciones que trabajan para un otro mundo y compartir ideas. Es responsabilidad del grupo de trabajo del CI, elaborar el borrador de un plan antes de la próxima reunión del CI para ser discutido y aprobado por el CI.

### **3) Facilitación del Proceso FSM: PROPUESTAS DEL GRUPO DE TRABAJO FORMADO EN PARMA**

#### **Introducción:**

En Parma, un grupo de trabajo fue formado para elaborar una propuesta para el CI sobre la creación de un "Grupo Internacional de Facilitación".

La creación de dicho Grupo no es un objetivo, sino un medio. Este Grupo se encargaría de dar soporte al proceso FSM, solamente si el CI es capaz de establecer un plan de trabajo concreto para el próximo año.

Por esta razón, a descripción de, lo que llamamos en este texto, "Grupo de Enlace / Facilitación", está inserido en una amplia perspectiva a partir de la necesidad del CI para estructurar su trabajo entorno a:

- Un Conjunto de Objetivos Anual;
- Comisiones con responsabilidades bien definidas;
- Grupos de trabajo para tareas específicas y relacionados a las Comisiones.

El Grupo de Enlace / Facilitación será realmente funcional, caso el CI defina claramente un conjunto de objetivos.

El Grupo de Trabajo formado en Parma ha trabajado más específicamente sobre:

- El mandato del Grupo de Enlace / Facilitación;
- La composición de este grupo;
- Las tareas concretas a ser realizadas por este grupo.

Los elementos siguientes son resultados del trabajo llevado a cabo para el Grupo de Trabajo durante sus reuniones en Nairobi (el 19 de Diciembre y el 16 de Enero).

Se ha recibido también la contribución de diferentes personas, las cuales se ajustan al trabajo hecho en Nairobi, para una reflexión más general sobre los objetivos y estructuras del FSM.

Este texto está siendo enviado ahora solamente para todos miembros del CI para la preparación de la reunión del 26 y 27 de enero.

#### **PROPUESTA A SER DISCUTIDA EN NAIROBI**

##### ***Preámbulo***

*Teniendo en cuenta el hecho que, después de siete años de experiencia, el proceso FSM se ha extendido a muchos lugares en el mundo y ha contribuido para el surgimiento de una nueva cultura política. Esta nueva cultura considera posible un nuevo mundo basado en perspectivas sociales opuestas al neoliberalismo y basadas en la diversidad, en el horizontalismo y en la involucración de una larga variedad de actores sociales.*

*Teniendo en cuenta que uno de los aspectos fundamentales de esta nueva cultura política es la posibilidad de ser apropiada y libremente multiplicada por todas las partes del mundo, por todos/as aquellos/as que quieran compartirla. Mientras tanto, este compromiso con el proceso no implica en ningún enlace con una estructura o con una organización, que ya es parte del proceso FSM.*

*Teniendo en cuenta el hecho que el número de actores sociales, organizaciones, movimientos y redes interesadas en contribuir para el Proceso FSM, ha aumentado significativamente desde 2001. Eso muestra la vitalidad de esta iniciativa.*

*Teniendo en cuenta que es necesario reunir aún más el conocimiento y las experiencias para seguir con la construcción de un nuevo mundo y evitar las amenazas las cuales enfrenta nuestro planeta.*

*El Consejo Internacional (CI) está de acuerdo con las siguientes recomendaciones, las cuales lleva a mejorar su trabajo, integrando la energía y creatividad de miles de organizaciones ya involucradas con el proceso FSM:*

1. *A partir de ahora, el CI trabajará basado en un **Conjunto de Objetivos Anual** y de **Grupos de Trabajo** (GTs), conectados a **Comisiones** existentes. Estos GTs serán específicos, abiertos y*

*limitados en el tiempo (el grupo de trabajo desaparecerá así que su objetivo sea alcanzado). Ellos serán designados para implementar cada objetivo del Conjunto Anual de Objetivos.*

2. *El Conjunto de Objetivos debe ser aprobado por el CI durante su reunión en Enero. El CI debe tener al menos más una reunión todos los años.*
3. *El Conjunto de Objetivos será definido siguiendo el proceso de consenso. El será basado en la evaluación de los puntos fuertes y débiles del proceso FSM, y deberá mencionar los resultados concretos a ser obtenidos dentro de un determinado periodo de tiempo. Estos objetivos serán específicos (por ejemplo: "establecer una red de contactos en de China" al revés de "promover la expansión del FSM").*
4. *Estos Objetivos se refieren al avance del proceso y a la red que será constituida por el CI. Ellos no se referirán a los objetivos de luchas de las organizaciones participantes del proceso FSM.*
5. *Para cada objetivo, será creado un GT. Todos los miembros del CI podrán ingresar en cualquier GT.*
6. *Las actuales Comisiones del CI (Contenido, Metodología, Comunicación, Recursos, Expansión y Estrategia) seguirán y serán fortalecidas. Ellas deberán funcionar más como "foros" en los cuales los GTs intercambiarían ideas y experiencias. Cada GT estará conectado a una o más Comisiones, lo que no significa una relación jerárquica, pero un espacio permanente de diálogo. Las Comisiones deberán ser el espacio donde los planes de trabajo específicos del GT serán discutidos y adoptados, dentro del marco del Conjunto de Objetivos definidos por el CI.*
  - *Para dar un nuevo inicio a las comisiones, cada miembro del CI será invitado a confirmar su participación en las diferentes Comisiones y el CI a reevaluar críticamente el trabajo de la comisión y sus dificultades que encuentran en trabajar por comisiones.*
7. *Cada GT proveerá diversas herramientas que permitan el trabajo a distancia, por medio de la internet, para alcanzar sus objetivos y para presentar su trabajo para la Comisión y para el CI.*
  - *Para alcanzar sus objetivos, los GTs llevarán a cabo reuniones "físicas" y tendrán soporte para las cuestiones técnicas dentro de un límite del presupuesto aprobado por el CI. Para eso, el CI creará un presupuesto anual de forma participativa. Este presupuesto anual consistirá en el presupuesto para el CI, sus comisiones y grupos de trabajo, el presupuesto para los eventos serán tratados a parte y gestionados por los organizadores del evento.*
  - *El presupuesto del proceso FSM y su ejecución por el CI/Comisiones/GTs estará disponible para consulta en el sitio web del FSM.*
  - *Hay la necesidad de establecer una entidad legal para llevar a cabo el presupuesto del proceso FSM, como organización de soporte dependiendo de las decisiones del CI, la cual debe ubicarse en un país que sea posible enviar y recibir dinero fácilmente para todo el mundo.*
8. *Para facilitar los contactos y una buena comunicación entre los diversos GTs y las varias Comisiones y entre todos miembros del CI, un **Grupo de Enlace / Facilitación** será formado para desarrollar un papel especial, pero sin un status específico dentro del CI. Este papel será:*
  - *Mantener la comunicación con todos los GTs e informar todos miembros del CI sobre los progresos alcanzados en la implementación del Conjunto de Objetivos;*
  - *Asegurar la preparación de las reuniones del CI;*
  - *Presentar a cada reunión del CI un reporte completo sobre los avances basados en el Conjunto de Objetivos;*
  - *Asegurar la comunicación entre las varias Comisiones y entre las Comisiones y el CI;*
  - *Monitorar el funcionamiento de la oficina del FSM, así como realizar esfuerzos para la recaudación de fondos;*
  - *Facilitar la elaboración del Conjunto de Objetivos y Presupuesto del próximo año.*

*En otras palabras, el Grupo de Enlace / Facilitación, a servicio del CI y sin mandato político, asegurará la implementación de las decisiones, planes y presupuestos definidos por el CI, por las Comisiones y por los GTs.*

9. *Funcionamiento del Grupo de Enlace / Facilitación.*

- El *Grupo de Enlace/Facilitación* tendrá sus costos cubiertos por un presupuesto específico;
- El *Grupo de Enlace / Facilitación* recibirá soporte administrativo por parte de la oficina en Sao Paulo;
- La capacidad de la oficina del FSM deberá ser fortalecida para facilitar el proceso FSM.

El *Grupo de Enlace / Facilitación* deberá reunirse regularmente para evaluar la implementación del Conjunto de Objetivos.

#### 10. Composición del *Grupo de Enlace/Facilitación*

Teniendo en cuenta las tareas que serán realizadas, el CI deberá indicar personas (sin elecciones) con base en la diversidad, competencias, tiempo disponible y acumulo de experiencia para el desarrollo del proceso. Los miembros indicados ahí estarán en nombre del CI y no como un representante de su organización/movimiento. Los miembros del *Grupo de Enlace/Facilitación* se alternarán a cada dos años, con la posibilidad de la indicación para un segundo período de 2 años. Nadie será miembro del *Grupo de Enlace/Facilitación* por más de 4 años.

El *Grupo de Enlace/Facilitación* deberá ser compuesto por siete personas, con *siete reemplazantes*. Este es más apropiado por tres razones (1- un tamaño razonable para en el caso el caso donde haya 1,2 o 3 faltantes, 2- un grupo no muy grande evita problemas de costos para las reuniones, 3- este tamaño permite una buena diversidad dentro del grupo). *Esta composición deberá llevar en cuenta las comisiones, la diversidad geográfica y de género*).

*Uno/a entre ellos/as podrá representar el Colectivo Brasileño, lo cual iniciaron el proceso FSM.*

*Estas organizaciones brasileñas no seguirán como Grupo Facilitador del proceso FSM. Ellos podrían, de modo colectivo, ser representados en el Grupo de Enlace/Facilitación, con la responsabilidad específica de administrar la oficina del FSM ubicada en Sao Paulo.*

Para identificar los miembros del grupo de enlace, el GT que hace esta propuesta está dispuesto a trabajar de la siguiente manera:

- En la próxima reunión del CI todos/as interesados/as en participar del Grupo de Facilitación (solamente miembros de las organizaciones que forman el CI) deberán inscribir sus nombres;
- En los dos meses siguientes, el grupo de trabajo discutirá con todos/as ellos/as por medio de varias consultas para tentar llegar a una composición final de acuerdo con los criterios mencionados más arriba.

#### 11. Expansión del CI

- *Esta nueva estructura permitirá mejorar el modo de ampliación del CI, utilizando las posibilidades del GT.*

#### **4) Propuesta para la sede de la próxima reunión del Consejo Internacional en Florencia durante el "Terra Futura"**

Estimados/as Amigos/as,

Confirmamos que la próxima reunión del Consejo Internacional del FSM puede tener lugar durante el evento internacional "**Terra Futura**", en Florencia, Italia. Terra Futura ("Our future earth"), es un legado del Foro Social Europeo que tuvo lugar en Florencia en 2002 y su evento internacional busca presentar, cambiar y desarrollar las "buenas practicas" ya existentes sobre sustentabilidad social, económica y ambiental.

**Terra Futura** es organizada por Cultural Foundation of the Ethical Bank y su 4<sup>o</sup> edición tendrá lugar en Fortezza da Basso en Florencia del **18 al 20 de Mayo de 2007**. La reunión tendrá grandes exhibiciones y programación cultural de nivel internacional: en 2006 más de 72.000 visitantes participaron, con 400 áreas de exhibiciones presentando más de 3000 experiencias, 180 actividades culturales y 700 oradores.

Gracias al apoyo de "Provincia di Firenze", será posible recibir los participantes del Consejo Internacional durante tres-cuatro noches durante el próximo Terra Futura, será providenciado transporte local y salas equipadas para la reunión. El transporte para Florencia es responsabilidad del participante.

**Se propone que la reunión del Consejo empieza el 16 de Mayo y termina el 18 de Mayo.** Es posible que la/os personas del Consejo participen del Terra Futura y de sus conferencias y programa cultural.

Gracias por su atención.  
Estoy disponible para otras informaciones.

Saludos,  
Ugo Biggeri  
Presidente

Contactos:  
[biggeri.fondazione@bancaetica.org](mailto:biggeri.fondazione@bancaetica.org)  
[siliani.fondazione@bancaetica.org](mailto:siliani.fondazione@bancaetica.org)  
[jason.nardi@gmail.com](mailto:jason.nardi@gmail.com)

## **5) Minute notes from discussion by Rolando Lopez (Ibase)**

### **Introduction** – Moema

[Translation Problems – Delay of Three Hours]

Rabia: Suggestion to split in groups

### **Introduction Organising Commission Africa**

First words – African Woman (?)

Taoufik: It's difficult to make a serious evaluation at this moment, but some elements should be mentioned. Forum is result of long struggle and process. We have had a total of over 30 Forums in Africa. We also had the Bamako forum to learn from. The main difficult was the mobilisation. Because the social movement in Africa is fragmented and dominated by a few, it is not totally democratic. We are glad that there were a good number of African trade unionists. In terms of resources, of the 1,160,000 (US \$) we mobilised, only 69% were disbursed. No antecedent to the number of representatives. Too early to evaluate political repercussions. This forum was the most important one for Africa. Its an historical event. All our friends, first of all Holland, the first to support. Thank you to interpreters and volunteers.

Odour Ongwen: Many people helped to mobilize and that has been very helpful. However, the issue of the poor was not managed well. In Kenya the poor are not in the slums only. They are also in the rural area. So please don't say that the poor did not have a chance. It's a plea to challenge the romantisation of the poor. Explanation of distribution and high price of water: 50.000 litres were free, the rest was not under control. The role of Kenya media in WSF: it was focused a bit late. Accommodation was a complete failure. It was not up to standards. Many sessions did not work. We assume full responsibility. Volunteers were also weak. It is premature to evaluate the Forum in Kenya. Finally, in spite of the problems, we feel it was a success.

African Woman –Intervention in French: It was an important event. Nairobi started with Bamako. In Africa Civil Societies are weak. This event was a learning process. People of the poorest countries are even weaker. No capacity to criticise the global structures. The right to protest was a good lesson.

Samir Amin: One point. Political impact. Conventional speech on abortion. Opinions matter – religious groups. Rome and Washington are infiltrating?

Rabia: Mobilisation good but now what? Open space? What about the Churches? What about diversity?

Fahim: Congratulations on Forum. One point. Few members of IC attended Pakistan. We had deficit. We hosted 250,000 people. With less funds. We did not have uniformed police. We did not have looting. No robberies. My point is that it is not only money. Its important to mobilise with Social Movements. We did not have coca cola.

John Steward – Zimbwe: We have to establish criteria for evaluation. Self assessment and report to IC. Each commission to evaluate process also. From NGOs to social movement. From talking to actions? You can't evaluate today, we don't have the criteria. A structure needs to be established.

Vinod: The stadium was too big. Seminar was not interactive – it was like a lecture. High price for registration and food.

Rafaella Bolini: We knew that there were going to be problems. It was a common challenge. Civil society fragmented, poor, weak, etc. so lets not be surprised for the wrongs, lets be surprised for the good things that happened. We have to learn from all these things. Finances, communications, etc. All these things are common responsibilities.

Man from West Africa: We met the challenge. It has been a success. High quality of discussions. Good preparation of discussions. Weaknesses. Terrain. Last point is the need for Charters for all functions.

Woman from Babel: Translation was not a Babels issue. Difficulties of communication. Important to develop local translation. Regional capacity. Training local people especially Swahili. Training in sign

language. The whole thing created an African Dynamic. Per diem was weak. Infrastructure was weak. Conditions were weak. We have to avoid people trying to get to the money for translation. Lets not confuse radio with tools and translations.

Gina: Forum was absolutely necessary. Many mistakes but good. IPS talking about "terror" was very dangerous. Churches issues should also be looked at. Morality, gays, etc. other issue that needs to be addressed.

Vinod: Important was to open Africa and come to Africa. Regarding the Technical issues they should be looked at. Political problems are important. These should be addressed.

Odded: Its good to compare what you expect with what happened. Proposal – to form a group that will present to us a methodology of evaluation.

Luis Guillermo: Congratulate Africa y Kenya. We in FDH leave with a caucus consolidated. We need to overcome the problems of translation of finances. We can not continue without solidarity economy. We can not continue to depend on outside funding. We have to remember that Darfur and Somalia are close by.

Atila-Social Watch: Warm thank you to Africa and Kenya. Forum was a learning process, a building process, and we have moved to a different level. I have participated in OCs we know that there are problems. But participation of women was great.

European Man: Need to emphasise good things. We cant change the world in 7 years. We live in the midst of Capitalism. Christians for social justice and option for the poor. We have to be careful not to condemn. Lets remember that it is a common ground, not a party.

Giampiero Rasimelli: Italians had a meeting last night with over 100 people. Technical problems have to be overcome, but there are issues such as thematic NGOs, the attitude of Europe towards Africa and not only the US; I agree with Samir Amin, but missionaries of Italy also helped to visit slums.

Irene Leon – Americas Forum: We must work on the Ethicx and methodology, not on evaluation of the content. No more learning process: action is necessary. Let's do a chart to define the behaviour of the forum. This needs to be linked to Charter of Principle. No discrimination in class, gender? We don't need big infrastructures, just somewhere to meet to change the world. Methodology same thing, it should not be an experiment of someone... They should not experiment with us.

Diana (Canada): African Struggles are Global Struggles. We are talking about the lack of discussion about the role of the WSF. Open Space is one. If we don't talk about this the WSF is in jeopardy. Women were not addressed as an issue. Progressive churches are good if they are allies. The point is about anti-abortion propaganda: this cannot be tolerated.

African Woman (People's Parliament Forum of Kenya): We protested for the entrance fee which was too expensive: 7 US\$, when many of us live under a dollar a day. We found this untenable. The registration process was too complicated and bureaucratic. Also the venue should have been better chosen: Kasarani was too far. We were not included in the organisation. The security issue has been wrongly addressed: being secluded during the day and then having participants go back to city centre in the evening when security is more problematic. The cost of organising events was far too expensive and excluded many people. Large NGOs had a lot of events such as Action Aid and Human Dignity. We in the Parliamentary Forum did not have any. Cost of food and water were untenable. We wanted to have stands for food but could not. Not made a good possibility to compete. Only the rich firms could compete. The WSF OC presented the opportunity to make money for commercial entities out of the delegates, but did not open this to real competition. People came to our slums for free – we wanted the opposite to be possible as well. As for the translations, no radios were distributed to be able to listen and the few translators were payed too much, 100\$ a day – instead of having many volunteer translators.

Christophe: Need a good evaluation. Good quality of discussion. Good exchange. Critique: need more volunteers instead of expensive resources (eg for translations). The 4<sup>th</sup> day (convergence and proposals of action) was a good idea but the way it was carried out could have been much better.

Nicholas: The participation of churches as "moral community" in Africa has been extraordinary.

Caritas and the ecumenical forum had more than 4,000 local churches mobilised. We brought Desmond Tutu, Orthodox Church, isolated churches, Muslims. This was the most successful for ecumenical committee. We want to thank the OC for collaboration. We published two books. One by Chico and the other by Oyugi's.

Carola: Solidarity economy. Courageous men and women. It was an extraordinary forum for Solidarity Economy. Pickpockets happen also in Spain. Two hours for continental integration processes. Deficit, sponsorship and fees are global issues, as well as a code of conduct to have fair trade inside the WSF. Communication has not been working well either.

African Man: Open a link to make comments on evaluation. We the trade unions did not have representatives in the board. More unity of action is needed. Good mobilisation. Police had a good role, considering the culture.

African Woman: OC - From migration movement. Migrants were present and contributed very much. A new platform needs to be elaborated. Seminars with two hour to prepare do not work. Children going hungry is Africa today.

Eric Toussant: How to preserve an open space? Individual or organisation representation. How to articulate the different actors of the Forum: Trade unions, etc. We must rethink the composition of next IC. The WSF should come back to Africa in the next years. The 4<sup>th</sup> day was supposed to be for action and convergence, but the organisation wasn't coherent with the mission: 21 thematic assemblies are too many. Certain weren't useful: eg, for the Debt campaign, in the morning we were more than 300 people, while in the afternoon it was max 40 people. It would have been better to have 5 different general activities with the same agenda and try to converge these afterwards. We counted 1300 people participating at the 21 thematic meetings, while only in the social movements assembly we were more than 2000.

Delegate from migrant international organisation: Two hours to make proposals for action and change is too little. We've seen the situation in the slums, but we had to go there because they weren't able to participate inside the Forum. Migrant workers are participants to the development of a nation.

Kenyan - Working in Tunisia: Young people have participated a lot. They should be taken into account much more. For first time we had a great number of young people participating. The OC went out of its way to make sure they could participate, but not enough attention to the different views of the youth: they were part of the caravans preparing the WSF process - it has been the largest participation of young people to a process like this in Africa. We also had a problem addressing some of the members of the secretariat/OC so we are asking ourselves: is this "possible world" repeating dynamics of the world we are contesting?

Candido: Congratulate people from OC in Africa. Organisations from the grassroots are not having a chance to participate since the first Forum: the problem with exclusion has been with us since the beginning. Activities are organised more by strong organisations rather than grassroots ones. Second issue is the challenge of ownership of the WSF and how to increase autonomy from governments and development agencies. The financial issue is fundamental. Why Europe has given more to LAC than to Africa? Why Europeans organised their own activities instead of funding African organisations? Charter of Principles needs revision, setting grounds and learning lessons for solidarity funds, solidarity economy, labour and volunteering.

Antonio Martins: If we read newspaper, we see that people protested against the Forum: "what a shame" you would say. I say "what a change!!!" that people feel free to participate and criticize also the people who are struggling for a better world. This change needs to be heard and addressed.

Jurgen - EED: I raise 4 issues. Media: how to break the cycle. There has been no improvement on media relations. Journalist says that it was extremely difficult to register. Forcing them to do it as participants and be a part of the WSF, when they should be external. Church: the presence of many churches helped to confront on many social issues.

We do not live what we preach. Meals from informal sector? Finances - propose to examine who contributed and make those who made activities to contribute.

Solange - Cote Divore: I am a member of the world social forum. I am very satisfied with the african participation. In Bamako we learned a lot and here we learned more. I raise issue of subscription to the WSF through the SMS mobile phone system: we criticize multinational

companies but we involve them in our organisation activities.

Mathias –Tavola della Pace: Inspiring Forum – A general evaluation needed, not individual comments. Another good thing was the decentralised nature of workshops in the slums. Have we all contributed to make sure that the result of the Forum would be the best?

Roberto Savio: Issues: This is not Hyde Park corner, it's the WSF – We should have more criteria and stimulate debate. Communications: horror stories – at the beginning there were thousands of journalists, now few. There has been very little structure and facilities to allow media participation and coverage. The result has been very little international coverage. The Communication commission is meeting after this event – we must change this situation.

European Man – European March: What happened to the microphones, and translation equipment sitting in the airport? We were looking for someone, for Prof. Oyugi nowhere to be found. Why could nobody give an answer about the delegations and journalists from the first day? Lets learn from the experience. Fourth Day was a good idea, but poorly implemented. Code of conduct for organisers of WSF: we need to create an ad hoc international committee who can deal with these kind of problems during the days of the Forum.

Whie Woman- European: Two short points. We should note the presence of activists from China. Movements from Africa are ready to lead this process. The focus should be on neoliberal Europe and the struggle against a recolonisation of Africa.

Moody- Wsf India, Mumbai: I want to stress the importance of symbolism: the extent of commercialisation in this Forum marked a reversal of the previous tendency. We need to reflect. After Mumbai, we have had deficits and this is a sign of an attempt to overcome that. How do we raise funds? How do we spend them? We need to sum up the responsibilities also from the secretariat. One more point I think that a good evaluation is needed. A good look at the charter of principles is healthy.

Dot Keet - South Africa: Enormous efforts and energy was invested. Romantisation of poverty is still going on. We have to be careful not to fetichize the poor and poverty. Best thing for Europeans is to go back to their countries to change their governments and let us deal with our problems. There has been a slippage at this forum – the thematic terrains should have gone with convergence during all the time period: we need a popular assembly every night in order to shift attention from simple debate to convergence.

European Interpreter from Athens: Translation equipment was tested, all was going well but the implementation was bad. There was no one member of African OC or IC responsible for implementation. Also, budget and organisational mismanagement have occurred: people payed too much (we would not have a deficit) and technical facilities that were not tested.

Taoufik: Collective responsibility needs to be revisited. Internal democracy needs to be revisited. There was no one to defend the people (volunteers, workers) from internal marches. Three months ago we invited people to participate but no one showed up to help us avoid a number of errors. Manipulation can happen and might have happened here. The role of IC is still very much invisible in these activities. A new type of organisation is necessary, with clearer mandates.

Edward Oyugi: Good constructive comments.

Commercial organisations were welcomed but did not respond and you did not go to eat there. All responses were late in trying to solve the issue of food. We made it free for women groups in Kenya to come here and sell their food: only 2 groups came. Most of the rest belonged to informal organisations. Water was also a problem. We did not want all of you to end up in the hospital. An Italian organisation had said they would help distribute free water, but until 3 days before we had no answer from them so we asked small companies to sell water for 10 KS.

Fees: we allowed many Kenyans to register for 50 Shillings and asked to express their needs. We gave opportunities to other people, but that was very invisible. You need to respect processes. Payment of little money signifies a co-ownership of the activities. If I go to Brazil or France and look for the poorest people, I will find out that they are not participating. We can only criticise as participants and not as Colonisers. We could not integrate ALL those we wanted to, that is for sure. However, we opened the gates in the morning and someone has mobilized people saying that the gates were closed. 3000 people from the slums came in free of charge. The lady who spoke here from the Peoples' parliament is not part of that organisation.

Explanation about the venue: originally it was going to be in Nairobi city centre. After this was impossible, we consulted with many and the OC decided that Kasarani was the best choice. Don't hide behind a patronising attitude.

Regarding communications and media: they are also participants when they report what is happening.

(Discussion on Right of Response) From African Woman.

South African: Point of order on interventions – this session and assessment won't be conclusive. Nairobi OC has to do a self evaluation.

African Woman intervenes:

Protesting on the response to accusation with personal attack.

Flavio Lotti – How are we going to continue a deeper evaluation? In general not only for this specific event? We are talking to about two things. One is code of conduct of OCs other is WSF in general.

Moema: tomorrow we will decide according to the written issues.

Nicola Bullard: Lets make decisions now, not leave it to the end.

Rabia: lets work in groups.

Victor: We must do evaluation but only if we are clear of what are the implications and results we think will be achieved this way.

### **Decision to Work on 2008**

Raffaella Bolini: Issue of Process and Event: after 7 years the WSF is no longer an event, but a process at local, global and thematic levels.

Mobilisation for 2008 will not be something weak. It intends to involve all local and regional actors. Thematic, national and regional actors. The answer to the success of this event will be to organise a day or days of mobilisation. Remember that it will be a presidential electoral year in the USA. All of this was decided in Parma. Preparation should be done by internet. The day would be in coincidence with Davos. One day or more days?

How are we going to launch an appeal for it and inform everybody about the call? People should decide on their own the kind of activity depending on what they needs are. What the most important demands are. Each activity should inform the IC on what they are going to do. These activities will be crucial.

Francois Huttart: Each one should establish their own priorities. Can we all agree on ONE? Next point is to be very concrete very specific depending on context. People will not mobilize for very generic appeals. Proposal on decentralised activities.

If we leave it to everyone it will not have impact of ONE issue.

Oded: In Parma we decided to keep the same dates as Davos -- if we don't occupy the space, someone else will... we can review it but we must be very careful.

On evaluation lets just do it!! Opportunity to put to action anything you want to do. In one day we have to make a connection – worldwide.

Flavio: Its good to do a ONE issue event, but its too early to decide on the issue. Is it only to "survive" as a forum? We should consider a two year process, not every year, in order to strengthen our capacity and be effective at changing the life of people and answering their demands. We have to grow in efficacy, not only numbers. Proposal: we start now, but keep discussing the process if we want to learn from our mistakes, we must be aware that 11 months is a short time for a global event.

Antonio: We should debate the issue of not only survive but make one step ahead. Do we have the capacity? Have we build the necessary power? We need a huge umbrella – not one issue, not one single goal. One issue which is strong and can bring all people together.

Manuel: We are not following the methodology we had decided in Parma. We should just review what was done in the 4rth day here in Nairobi and know what the proposals on the table are.

Slogan: another world is possible is good enough.

Gina: proposals will be put on the website.

Rabia: one issue is important. Lets evaluate what has happened until now. Innovative processes have to be invented, including the evaluation of polycentrics. There is a contradiction between going towards process – then trying to organize a common day event. Slogan: "Building the other possible world" - the date does not have to be tied to Davos. Convergence of movement of movements must not be reduced to an event.

Young Student - Brasil. Student Representation  
Freedom of expression and of organisation must be assured.

Taoufik: Consider the advances made in the 4th day. Its early anyway, we have our own process but the world has its own process as well. We need to establish a way to collect the proposals of action. The WSF has its own identity now, it does not need to be associated any longer with Davos

Chico: WSF is process, it should have a different format. It should have theater, marches, seminars etc. One Day there must be during Davos. First day many proposals came about. We should create a new instrument or use wsfprocess.net. We need working groups for this.

Christophe: We should be ambitious. Maybe different dates are possible for ex. March 8 for women, but need a ONE day of action. Practical reason is Davos – but we should change the date because it is holiday in the South and winter in the north, difficult to mobilize people in that period.

Rasimelli: Davos is ok. In each country actions according to own necessity and responsibility – must have a an open IC to all national movements who propose something.

Sally Burch – Hemispheric Council

Format cannot be too flexible. One clear simple measure? We have to know what is happening at Davos.

Marie - European woman

Topic – more concrete than another world is possible. Something measurable. We need to be inclusive in the process and cannot be a small working group, but a facilitating and not decision taking one.

Roberto Savio – we need to set up a serious communication and information structure. If this does not happen, then we will face a serious problem. Parma was late for Africa. We can not be late for 2008. Without a clear budget we will not be able to deliver. The communication commission needs a clear mandate also to implement the plans.

Jean Marie: Davos is good and important. We have to continue to put pressure on Davos. We should not exclude those who can not do anything in that date. Proposal: one global period during those days – events should have continuity during a period. Several actions and events organised locally. Respecting the charter of principles.

Carles: Global march is good idea. It should e a Global process but should allow forms of struggle of a different type, diversity of expression. Not only one day but maybe a week is good. One Logo but only as umbrella – wide enough to cover every one. We should ask through a Consultation to find out how to proceed and with an inventory of the actions already planned. Then we can begin to plan the best tools to construct these events.

Candido: we have built common values and created a different political culture. During these last two years we should try to see the other side of ourselves. These are the activities that can be carried out. Opening different initiatives, for example opening an event in New York. Looking what is happening in the East and West before making a final decision. Another message would be difficult (Another world is possible is enough).

European Man

One symbol – another world is possible is not enough. We need a discussion day.

David-

We cannot decide. Practical decisions call for a Calendar and an inventory. Otherwisethe visibility

will be poor.

African OC – Kenyan Debt relief network

Need to rethink methodologies. We have to include the social movements. They have to catalyse. They have to take the centre stage. Its critical that we have a framework to mobilise large numbers of people, in different ways. They don't come to one event. They should be able to mobilise according to several issues. Rethink what we want.

TWO WORKING GRUPS TO DECIDE CRITERIA ON EVALUATION AND ANOTHER ON PROPOSALS FOR ACTION FOR 2008.

### **Morning session - January 27, 2007**

Meeting on evaluation and on proposal for action. Plan will be elaborated by a special group and circulated for discussion after two weeks.

Rabia- Suggest 5 year plan. Good evaluation.

Chico- A long term plan is a good idea but in our case is too ambitious. We have to monitor world reality. We have to be cautious.

Belgian Activist- We should make a decision about the day of action. There is already enough experience to do this on the part of social movements.

Irene- In behalf of everyone – all movements- against ITC – pharmaceutical, transgenics, women, etc. Denounce the actions of Davos. Mobilise one day. Facilitation Group have a good and leading role.

Vinod- only comments on working group.

Flavio- remove from the 2008 proposal the sentence not having anything to do with after 2008... remove the date of next IC.

Taoufik- continuity on fourth day and working group recommendations.

Clarification: One day of VISIBILITY

Roberto Savio: undemocratic to resolve the issue of one day in here.

Manuel- good working group. Another chart of principles? We have to discuss this. We can not forget the 4<sup>th</sup> day. Its important to design and reinforce Communication and Media strategy. We need in depth discussion but we also need a different methodology.

Odded- support proposal and explain the meaning of this proposal. We are here because we believe in the process of the Wsf. The process needs to be expanded. This is the chance to put in practice the mobilisation they talk about.

European Man- Assure that big movements agree with us. Keep awip logo. En each region we can adapt the slogan to local reality.

Jason- We must take on from the 4<sup>th</sup> day proposals and follow up the process through the wsf website, posting all proposals of action and with a procedure to register new ones. Publicity has to be increased. Communication for visibility will be vital. I am asking everyone to be attentive to this. We should have a special programme of subsequent activities around the world and this could be represented by a world clock, where we start from one continent and as the day goes by all events are recorded and announced. Slogan could then work around a common "It's time to change the world" and a locally adapted one "Another XXXX is Possible", eg. "economy", or "democracy", etc. adapted.

Communication will be even more important than for the WSF events, not just for visibility but especially to mobilize more people and organisations worldwide.

Isabel- ITU- need document (written) to elaborate more. Communication also needs to be made more collective.

Oyugi- plan should include proposal of not only 2007 – a good menu of proposals is needed to choose from. Different choices are necessary. A set of proposals are important. A bouquet of proposals. A maximum of impact has to be guaranteed.

Indian Man. (Parma G)- Why Davos? Its visible enough. I don't see how we can carry out a process of connected activities worldwide.

Antonio- two remarks: plurality and openness. Everyone should feel comfortable. ITC is a good idea but for example women against the wall in Palestine have to be included. In seattle the issue was done in such a way that feminists and women were brought in without problems. There is enough experience accumulated that needs to be brought in, not only this group. Many of those people are not here now.

Paulo Freire Institute Person- agree with Antonio. Synchronised worldwide and media connection. For example to turn the lights off to bring attention regarding energy.

Carola- six points. High impact high visibility. Common tools. Visibility to alternatives. Methodology is also important. Facilitating group that will prepare agenda for next IC.

### **Evaluation Group**

Nicola- names of people in commission.

One strong consensus. One issue without consensus. Evaluation Framework.

Increase political impact. Framed around the values shared. Open, plural, ecological, anti ecological, solidarity, etc. Framework – measurable, languages, diversity, allocation of time, allocation of space, etc. can be measured. Practical measurable criteria. Volunteers. Preparation, decision making. Public awareness? Looking and monitoring the media. Finances? Looking at number – type of funders etc.

Methodologies should include ecological issues, good practice from previous wsf etc. the practical proposal is to set up a working group that should take into account the work done by the Finances Commission. Africans should prepare their own self evaluation and framework. Second proposal is to invite all to fill in a questionnaire through the emails we already have. Second part is to have a larger questionnaire to the large actors and OCs of the forum. We stopped short here. Flavio had another proposal that we could not agree on.

Flavio - We proposed to have a seminar to evaluate the Nairobi WSF and continue the debate we did not finish yesterday, in order to produce a report.

Rasimelli. Lets not discuss the whole thing. We need to discuss the other issues of the agenda.

Roberto – Manuel: good chairing. Transparency: put proposals in the web.

Brid: Transnational Institute. Put Nairobi in the contexts of other Wsfs. We should look at the logistics of wsf, we should also look at the political achievements of Nairobi and other wsf. To what extent has the IC – OC facilitated diversity etc.

African Woman- feedback on Wsf will be in web by next Monday.

Man – European. Not evaluate only Nairobi but all wsf. We have good tools, my institution has evaluated municipalities, etc.

Man French– difficult to evaluate an event such as this. Why and How are we going to evaluate?

Woman – Canada. What is the working group mandated? What is the mandate? What is the timeline, principles. Thematic? Global? Regional? I would like to add the LOCAL and NATIONAL forums, etc.

African Man- French- What about the little people (of Kenya)?

Taoufik – combine Flavio's proposal with Nicola's. Just to be careful how we work with the details.

Nicola- We could convene again at lunch and try to deal with the details.

This was accepted.

## **FACILITATING / LIAISON GROUP**

Chico-

(Explains in behalf of the group – In detail...)

French Man. Too early to discuss. Against charter of principles.

Roberto – I endorse proposal. Since Miami we wanted to do this. There is no working group which has been working well. This is an executive committee. I hope it is efficient. We received the document by Email. Two important documents? Establish a legal entity – country where its going to be set up? Second paper does not have it. We are changing the way we have been working until now.

Rasimelli - I want to support Chico on establishing objectives. This is not easy to do, we have just finished an event difficult to evaluate. Then the Wsf in 2009 ... who, when, etc. This needs to be facilitated. However this proposal has to be linked to another one...where are we going to...towards what? In Italy we want to discuss this and build a consensus.

Teivo. In principle the proposal has good things. However the fear is that the group will exacerbate the existing problems such as transparency. There is a clear political mandate and a representation (political) implied. There is a hidden intention to hide behind a language of horizontality.

Samir. If any group is to be constituted, it has to be done by movements that will construct a concrete alternative. I am not happy with the spirit.

Gina. This working plan is a start. Social movements have to be included. Working groups should do their preliminary work. Subordination to the IC is clear. We need more transparency but also some type of facilitation.

Woman European. Set of objectives is a good idea. Accountability is necessary also. However centralisation is not necessary. Representing the IC is not necessary. I don't believe in a Political Group coming out of here. Only decentralising can work.

Woman – We need to communicate with each other, we need accountability. Objectives are good but structures are not necessary. In terms of expansion it will kill the idea. It will take too long to name this people. Financially we have to solve the problem.

Man – Social Alliance. Demonstration in 2007 demands that the social movements integrate themselves in any group that is going to work.

Belgian Activist. Detail on page four...not representative of their own organisation" that means that social movements should integrate them.

Oded- Concern about those who are leading the struggle and those that are not leading the struggle. This is dangerous. Group is to facilitate: the present groups are not working. The Brazilian group wants to open up and invite other people. We have to have more efficiency.

Man. French: Individuals need to take on the process. It should be possible to experiment.

Christophe. The proposal is necessary but the criteria has to change. Power has to be given but we have to decide how to distribute that power. NGOs OCs is good but power needs to be given to social movements. Individuals is not going to work. National coalitions will have to be recognised as very realistic, and the way they work is a good lesson.

Manuel. Good and well intended proposal. Is not a question of business administration school. The issue is too deep, is an in depth process. I support the idea of dedicating a whole IC meeting to talk about this.

Flavio. We need a technical group. Fundraising etc. Sao Paulo Office. Second point is about constitution. We should decide criteria. No one of us could do it. We should dedicate ourselves to

find political support, others to technical.

Woman – LAC. I recognise need to find support to IC however I think that first of all we have to evaluate why the IC has not worked. I don't agree on political bureaus that will decide for everyone. We should not continue in this direction.

Jurgen: language of paper is too gloomy. We need to clarify and be more specific. I have some ideas but cant do it now. I would suggest more time for clarification.

John: Language is not clear. Frustration is growing but the way forward not very clear. It is clear that we are not moving. We are not finding the best way to move forward. More working groups will not be enough nor the right approach.

Carola: The mandate needs to be reviewed. Especially the role of the commissions. We need essential issues to take care of. I clearly see the need of an operational body. We have to find a compromise. My suggestion would be an operational body to facilitate the WG, the Documents, the Commissions, etc. some need to be facilitated, other issues should be left as they are. The OCs should be represented, no problem with that. Open up this document and review it in June. Take on all the comments and then work on it.

Moema- The idea of the working group is not to direct. But to facilitate everything in a way that things will work. I was part of the working group. Its just an effort to move things on. It would be a way to open up the power in the WSF. India and Brazil are not enough any more. Opening it up would function better. This is the intent of the proposal.

Chair – Tension on political role. The IC and Commissions play that role now. There is a need to strengthen certain points of facilitation and moving forward. The proposal is not clear on the political and technical inputs and differences. Its dangerous to continue without more discussion. We need more discussion.

Taoufik – First the group tried to suggest a way to work better. The group from Brazil decided to withdraw and we should appreciate that. We should take more time, and now decide on what type of technical support is deemed necessary. More concretely I suggest the working group should continue to work and present something next time. Decide on need to strengthen the secretariat and decide on this later.

### **Afternoon Session – January 27 2007**

USA Social Forum – Information about the next USSF which will take place in Atlanta, from June 27 to July 1<sup>st</sup> 2007. Information on: [www.ussf2007.org](http://www.ussf2007.org)

### **Next IC Meeting**

Jason – Leaflets invitation letter by Terra Futura for the 18<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> of May and presents proposal to host meeting before the event in Florence, Italy. Terra Futura is organised by the Ethical Bank and civil society organisations such as ARCI and unions such as CISL. Accommodation, food, equipment local transportation and logistics are all covered thanks to contribution of the Province of Florence. It would be an excellent opportunity to participate in an event such as Terra Futura (Our Future Earth), which is a legacy of the first European Social Forum and a big international event with more than 70.000 people attending. Focused on concrete alternatives and sustainability.

Raffaella Bolini – Other proposal would be Germany due to G8 in 2009.

Jurgen: NGOs – Churches – Platforms on Worldwide Issues. 50,000 participants expected. 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> of June – (Alternative on 7 of June – G8). 22<sup>nd</sup> June join the movement. Rostock

Irene Leon- Lets be careful about our own agenda – IC agenda – lets not succumb to others interests. We must have time without distraction.

French Speaking African- Debt issue in agenda is good in Germany.

Action Aid Rep – We have to concentrate on strategic issues. What about visas? And security/accessibility to the country during the G8 summit.

Oded: If we are to expand we should go elsewhere...but the opportunity is too good. Difficulties will be overcome. The dialogue with social movement in Germany will be good.

Vinod- Concern with Germany. Security issue. We should join people in the street. If we hold the IC meeting while people are demonstrating it is not a good message.

Adrie- Germany good. We should join the demonstration.

Taoufik- important issues the solidarity fund – Asia and LAC. Will the organisers of the next IC be able to provide visas and accommodations for Africans and Asians?

Rasimelli – 50-70 tickets for people from the south are needed, as well as space, translations, etc.

Candido- before or after the mobilisations. Fix dates.

Oded- After the meeting we have a very small audience.

French Woman- meeting after participate in alternative meeting.

Candido- Lets leave two weeks to hear the decision from the Germans. Group to follow up including Jurgen.

## **WSF 2009**

Only start the discussion, decision will be taken later.

Francois Huttart. New historical subject should be brought about. Lets try to concentrate in networks. We have to build Networks of Networks. Not only peasants and women networks.

Chair- only proposals for the next 30 minutes

Oded- We need tools to make decisions in the next meeting.

Flavio- We needed to come to Africa, it was an important event, now we have to evaluate. It will be up to the Africans to decide how to proceed with the evaluation and then stay in Africa again. Africa is very important. Network of Networks needs to be done in Africa.

French Man- How about the border of the US?

Taoufik- All are good ideas for the working group. Consolidation is a good element to consider.

European Man- Criteria should be developed for the new WSF. Thematic considerations should be included. Open the discussion for the working group.

Candido- Would like to support the idea of expansion of the wsf.

Vinod- Define process until 2009 – THE PROCESS needs to be thought about. A mapping of Forum should take place immediately. The mapping of strong social movements is important. China is missing. South Korea is another possibility.

Diana- Why only men speak? Who is filming? Issue of how frequently should the WSF occur?

Manuel: network of networks is not a good name. We should know who is willing or ready to be candidate for anything. Why is there noise about the wsf going back to Brazil?

French Speaking African. Africa mentioned in negative terms. Africans need to be empowered. Who makes decisions? How are they made? Why are there not Africans here?

WSContinental- There is a process in Brazil in the social movements about the possibility of bringing the wsf to Brazil. It would be good for the moment that LAC is living.

Ana Esther Cecena Mexico: World situation is changing. In 2009 the US elections will be over. No matter who wins it will important to send a clear message to the rest of the world. Why not the

border US Mexico? Social movements are stronger in LAC . In Mexico the Social Movements (We...) are not ready for this task. The other border is Amanzons. I propose to bring the WSF to the Amazon. The governor of Salvador has extended an invitation.

Bolivian Woman- I think there are enough elements for the WG to make the first attempt. It is important that these decisions are based in the Charter of Principles. One network of networks is very dangerous. We have to respect the social movements that are not here.

Ana Sugranyes- No technical decisions have been made to better communications and other concrete issues.

Explanation about composition of Functioning Working Group – Candido (Names will be given to Chair – 29 names for this commission received).

Explanation of Functioning of Evaluation Group – Nicola

Groups should meet in June. Work will be done on the internet. Call, to ask people to participate in survey design etcetera. Reference should be made to document commissioned by Finances Commission and Novib.

### **Information on WG for 2008**

Slogan AWIP but will be decided next meeting. Charter of principles will prevail in all decisions. . It will involve all organisations willing to contribute.

Huttart insists on proposal to go to the core of the issue instead of being like mosquitoes. Diane insists on results of 4<sup>th</sup> day. Proposal regarding transnational corporations. The methodology commission should disappear and the Working Group for 2008 becomes the new commission.

Regarding Communications. Roberto Savio proposal to have the communication commission (and anyone who is willing to contribute joining the commission on-line) work on new plan and strategy for the 2008 mobilisation. The commission must also have a mandate from the IC to fundraise for the plan and its implementation.

Candido: Commission on Communication needs a mandate.

Mandate unanimously given.

Sally Burch: Hemispheric Council – Met in Cochabamba decided to have next meeting in Central America. Important due to CAFTA, Guatemala was proposed. In consultation with Guatemala they agreed to host the forum. Next march should be a good opportunity (end of March) to meet in Guatemala after the summit of Indigenous Peoples.

Information on Asia – 15 October 21 October event on Debt. On 15 of May a Forum on Asia will be discussed.

Ruben- Foro Transfronterras occurred. Potable water is key issue.

Jose Miguel- Refugees and Rights- A world without refugees. Situation of refugees in world in 2008.

Taoufik- Social Forum in Africa in 2008.

Europe- Activities in Vienna.

Japan- Japan making an effort to strengthen the networking initiative.

Candido: Facilitation Group meeting after this meeting. Thanks to translators and Africa OC.

Taoufik: Proud of what was done and thankfull to all.

**18:00 Hours – END**

## 6) Reporte sobre el fondo de solidaridad de la reunión del CI en Nairobi – el 26 y el 27 de Enero.

### 1. Organizaciones que han contribuido con el fondo

Organización	Valor
????	Ksh 1.000,00
AIDC	Ksh 1.000,00
Alai	Ksh 500,00
Alianza Social Continental	US\$ 10,00
Assembléa das Nações Unidas dos Povos	50 euros
Caritas Internationalis	Ksh 8.000,00
Cives	Ksh 1.000,00
Conseu	Ksh 1.000,00
Cosatu	Ksh 1.000,00
CRID	50 euros
ETUC	300 euros
Euralat	Ksh 100,00
FAMES	US\$ 20,00
FIDH	15 euros
Focus on The Global South	US\$ 100,00
GPF	Ksh 6.000,00
Ibase	US\$ 100,00
Icae	Ksh 1.100,00
Initiative Ander Arbeiten Berlin	Ksh 1.000,00
IPS	US\$ 120,00
Italian ESF coord./ARCI	US\$ 100,00
NIGD	Ksh 7.000,00 / US\$ 42,0010 euros
NTUI India	Ksh 1.500,00
Observer	Ksh 50,00
Oneworld	US\$ 30,00
Oxfam	US\$ 100,00
Pakistan Org. Committee	Ksh 1.000,00
Peace Boat	US\$ 50,00
Social Watch	US\$ 100,00
Solidar	Ksh 7.000,00
TNI	Ksh 1.000,00
Transform!	100 euros / US\$ 200
Ubuntu Forum	US\$ 200,00
Vasundhaiva Kutumbakam Network	350 euros
WSF India/Aipsn	Ksh 1.000,00
WSF Solidarity Network	US\$ 10,00

**El total recibido ha sido de: 875 euros + 1.182 dólares americanos + 40.160 chelines kenianos.**

### 2. Gastos

Ítem	Valor
Local (Sistema de Sonido + Pausa café)	Ksh 110.000,00
Inmóvil (fotocopias y material de oficina)	Ksh 6.675,00
Interpretación (comida y transporte de voluntarios/as)	Ksh 30.350,00
<b>Total</b>	<b>Ksh 147.025,00</b>

### 3. Balance

Después de los cambios de los dólares americanos y de 315 euros a distintas tasas, junto a los chelines recibidos, hemos podido pagar los gastos totales de **147.025 chelines kenianos** (cerca de US\$ 2.162, a una tasa de 68 KSH por dólar). Todavía, se ha tenido un superávit de **560 euros**, que de acuerdo con decisión del CI, han sido inmediatamente donado a Edward Oyugi (Sodnet) para ser repasado para el comité organizador local.

## **7) Lista del Miembros del CI y observadores/as presentes en la reunión del CI**

### **Miembros del CI**

1. AAWC - Palestinian grassroots Anti-Apartheid Wall Campaign
2. ABONG - Associação Brasileira de ONGs
3. African Social Forum Council
4. AIDC - Alternative Information on Development Center
5. ALAI - Agencia Latinoamericana de Informacion
6. Aliança Por Um Mundo Responsável e Solidário
7. Alternative Information Center
8. Alternatives
9. APRODEV - Association of World Council of Churches related Development Organizations in Europe
10. Articulación Feminista Marco Sur
11. ASC - Aliança Social Continental
12. Assembléia das Nações Unidas dos Povos
13. ATTAC- Brasil
14. Babels
15. CADTM- Comité pour l'Annulation de la Dette du Tiers Monde
16. Caritas Internationalis
17. CBJP - Comissão Brasileira de Justiça e Paz
18. CEDETIM- Centre d'Etudes et d' Initiatives de Solidarité Internationale
19. CES – European Trade Union Confederation
20. CIDSE – Coopération Internationale pour le Développement et la Solidarité
21. CIVES – Associação Brasileira de Empresários pela Cidadania
22. CLACSO – Consejo Latinoamericano de Ciencias Sociales
23. CLC - Canadian Labour Congress
24. Coligação para a Justiça Economica
25. COMPA - Convergencia de los Movimientos de los Pueblos de las Américas
26. Consejo Hemisférico - Foro Social Américas
27. COSATU - Congress of South African Trade Unions
28. CRID - Centre de Recherche et d' Information pour le Développement
29. CTA – Central de Trabajadores Argentinos
30. CUT – Central Única dos Trabalhadores
31. Encuentros Hemisféricos contra el ALCA
32. ENDA – Environnement et Développement du Tiers Monde
33. EURALAT - Observatorio Eurolatinoamericano sobre el Desarrollo Democrático y Social
34. Euromarches – Marches Européennes
35. FAMES – Forum des Femmes africaines pour une Economie Solidaire
36. FIDH – Fed. Internacional Direitos Humanos
37. FNTG – Funders Network on Trade and Globalization
38. Focus on the Global South
39. FORIM – Forum des Organisations de Solidarité Internationale issues des Migrations
40. Forum pour un autre Mali
41. GLBT - South-South Dialogue
42. Global Progressive Forum
43. Grassroots Global Justice
44. Herriak Aske
45. IBASE – Instituto Brasileiro de Análises Sociais e Econômicas
46. ICAE - Consejo Internacional de Educacion de Adultos
47. IFAT - International Federation of Alternative Trade
48. India Organizing Committee
49. International Alliance of Habitants
50. International Trade Union Confederation (ITUC)
51. IPB – International Peace Bureau / Fundació per la Pau
52. IPF - Instituto Paulo Freire
53. IPS – Inter Press Service
54. Italian Coordination of European Social Forum
55. Jubilee South - Asia
56. Jubileu Sul América Latina
57. Kenyan Organising Committee
58. MST – Movimento dos Trabalhadores Rurais Sem Terra
59. NIGD - Network Institute for Global Democratization

60. Oneworld
61. OXFAM Internacional
62. Pakistan Social Forum
63. Peace Boat
64. PPEHRC – Poor People’s Economic Human Rights Campaign
65. Rede CONSEU (Conferencia de Naciones sin Estado de Europa)
66. Rede Dawn de Mulheres
67. Rede Latino Americana e Caribenha de Mulheres Negras
68. Redes Economía Solidaria
69. REMTE – Red Latinoamericana Mujeres Transformando la Economía
70. REPEM – Red de Educación Popular Entre Mujeres
71. ROAD – Réseau Ouest Africain des Alternatives pour le Développement
72. Social Watch
73. SODNET – Social Development Network
74. Solidar
75. Solidarity Africa Network in Action
76. Transform!
77. Transnational Institute (TNI)
78. UBUNTU - Foro Mundial de Redes de la Sociedad Civil
79. Vasudhaiva Kutumbakam Network
80. Via Campesina
81. World Education Forum
82. World March of Women
83. WSF Caracas
84. WSF India
85. WSF Organizing Committee Pakistan

#### **Observadores/as**

1. Afapredesa
2. Anjuman Asiaye Awan Pakistan
3. Associação Software Livre
4. CECOF
5. Cediter
6. COLMEA/POA-RS
7. DEDS/Prorext
8. FAL
9. FETAG
10. Foro Social Mundial Temático
11. Forum parlementaire
12. IMG Brasil
13. Koch fm
14. Liped / ANAC
15. Mol BA , Boubacar
16. Mov. "Pacis Nuntri"
17. Moz Social Forum
18. MS Kenya
19. No Vox
20. Oneka Sinema
21. PPSG
22. Quaker Institute Future
23. The Foundry
24. University and Social Involvement
25. Western Sahoro Journalism Uni
26. World Peace Alive Forum
27. WSF- Solidary Network in Japan